UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	\	Victor Rubio-Herrera	Case Number:	<u>11-10519M-001</u>	
In acco	ordance v tablished	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 ⁻⁴ d: (Check one or both, as applicable.)	42(f), a detention hearing has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts	
		by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
K		this case.	lant is a serious flight risk and	require the detention of the defendant pending	
	(1)	(1) The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
		a crime of violence as defined	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which the maxi	mum sentence is life imprisor	nment or death.	
		an offense for which a maxim	um term of imprisonment of to	en years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed a described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142	fter the defendant had been of the comparable sets	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses state or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offense described in finding 1 wa state or local offense.	e offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, ate or local offense.		
	(3)	A period of not more than five years imprisonment) for the offense describ	eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from risonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish reasonably assure the safety of (an)o rebutted this presumption.	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will nably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not ed this presumption.		
			Alternative Findings		
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that	it the defendant has committe	ed an offense Z) USC 952,960	
		for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or	more is prescribed in 9634 84/ 2	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)			
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the conditions will reasonably assure the a	presumption established by tappearance of the defendant	finding 1 that no condition or combination of as required and the safety of the community.	
			Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendathe appearance of the defendant as re	ant will flee; no condition or co equired.	mbination of conditions will reasonably assure	
X	(2)	No condition or combination of conditi	ons will reasonably assure the	e safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defenda a prospective witness or juror).	ant will (obstruct or attempt to	obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)				

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

**Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcu to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
The c	Iefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge

DATE: <u>November 22, 2011</u>

Page 3 of 3